NAME: **FIAZ AHMAD**

SAP ID: **70128670**

Department : **Economics**

Semester : **3rd**

Ending Exploitative Taxation: A Zakat-Based (System for Justice, Economic Growth and Welfare for All)

Introduction

Tax systems around the globe are frequently criticized as being unfair, placing an unfair burden on middle and low income earners and encouraging inefficiency. Zakat, Islam's obligatory almsgiving, is a redistributive, ethical model that offers a different perspective: fairness, transparency and collective welfare..This article explores how a zakat-based system either augmenting or substituting parts of conventional taxation could help end exploitative taxation and deliver justice, economic growth and welfare for all.

What is Zakat? A Primer

Zakat, one of Islam's pillars, is a set amount of wealth that one pays annually (to the poor, needy, travelers, and debtors). Zakat isn't just a charitable act, it's a compulsory obligation for those who qualify. It has both spiritual and social (wealth distribution) goals.

Several research works highlight how zakat compares with taxation:

- It applies the “ability to pay” criterion, horizontal equity, and features self-compliance.
- It is argued to incur lower deadweight loss (in economic terms) compared to general taxation.

- Zakat institutionalization is a challenging task, but it offers a framework for fairness and distribution.

Zakat, in short: it is an ethical and fiduciary instrument that has its roots in Islamic law (fiqh), and which is increasingly being studied by modern Islamic economists.

How Conventional Taxation can be Exploitative

It is important to know how the current tax system can be exploitative before deciding how a zakat based system could improve matters.

  - Taxes that are regressive: These taxes (such as payroll and consumption taxes) are disproportionately burdened on households with lower incomes.
  - The complexity of tax avoidance and the use of sophisticated shelters: High-income individuals and companies often employ complex strategies to defer or avoid taxes while low-income individuals cannot.
  - Insufficient transparency: The government may tax without being able to account for how the funds were spent, thereby undermining public trust.
  - Taxing savings, productive investments or employment too heavily can create distortions.
  - Unfair allocation of tax revenues: High-income groups may enjoy tax subsidies, loopholes or privileges while the least vulnerable are left out.

In many countries, especially in developing economies, these factors combine to create a fiscal zero sum for large populations: paying taxes yet seeing little in public goods, redistribution or welfare.

A Zakat-Based Fiscal Framework: Conceptual Foundations

What would a fiscal framework built around the principles of zakat look like? Here are its foundational components:

1. Equity and Ability to Pay

In zakat theory, the liability arises only once wealth exceeds a threshold (nisab) and is held for a defined period (haul). Those without surplus are exempt. In this sense, it reflects ability to pay.
A zakat-based system would:

- Levy on net-surplus wealth or assets above a threshold, rather than penalizing basic income or subsistence levels.

- Use transparent, fixed rates (for example the classical 2.5% on savings) to avoid arbitrary burdens.

2. Redistribution and Social Welfare

Zakat is a fund that allocates money to certain categories, such as the poor, needy, indebted, travelers, etc. Direct redistribution strengthens social justice. Implementation:

- The zakat fund (managed by professionals) can act in parallel with or as a replacement for some welfare expenditures.
- The redistribution will be targeted to reduce leakages, and improve impact.

3. Economic Growth and Incentives

Some research suggests that zakat funds and a well-designed framework can support business capital and growth. For example, in West Java, distribution of zakat plus local tax had a significant effect on growth (76 %). A framework should aim to:

- Encourage savings and investments rather than penalizing them. (Zakat's deadweight loss is argued to be lower than taxes.)
- Use redistributed funds to support entrepreneurship, micro-business and productive welfare (not just consumption relief).

4. Transparency, Trust and Participation

Zakat is often framed as worship and personal accountability, which can help build trust. A modern institutional zakat system would emphasise:

- Transparent collection and distribution.
- Auditability, public reporting and community oversight.
- Aligning with ethical and religious values supporting both moral purpose and economic function.

5. Complementarity with Public Goods

A common critique is that zakat alone cannot quite fund everything (infrastructure, defence, large scale services). Indeed, taxation plays a role in financing broad public goods. Research points to a synthesis: "a well-integrated system of zakat and taxation could enhance fiscal efficiency and social justice."   The vision then is not necessarily replacing *all* taxes, but replacing or reforming the exploitative parts, and layering the zakat system to emphasise fairness, growth and welfare.

Benefits of a Zakat Based System

Here are key advantages of moving toward such a system:

- **Greater fairness**: By linking liability to surplus wealth rather than income alone, the system respects ability to pay and alleviates burdens on the poor.
- **Improved redistribution**: Directing funds to defined needy categories reduces poverty, widens the safety net and closes wealth gaps.
- **Economic incentives**: Lower deadweight loss, support for savings and investments, better alignment with growth.
- **Enhanced trust**: Clear purpose, ethical framing, community involvement and direct link between payer and beneficiary build legitimacy.
- **Reduced avoidance and loopholes**: A simple fixed-rate system with transparency may reduce avoidance compared with complex modern tax codes.
- **Focused welfare investment**: Rather than broad subsidies, funds can be channelled into high-impact welfare and growth initiatives.
- **Moral and social cohesion**: Framing fiscal policy around generosity and communal welfare helps strengthen bonds and reduce alienation.

Challenges and Mitigation Strategies

No system is without challenges. A zakat-based model will face several, and addressing them is critical.

1. Institutional Capacity and Governance

Effective collection, management and distribution of zakat funds require robust institutions. Weak governance leads to misuse or inefficiency.
Mitigation: Build strong independent authority, ensure audits, public reporting, stakeholder oversight.

2. Thresholds, Rates and Fairness

Deciding the nisab, the haul period, the asset types and rates (e.g., 2.5%) might raise questions of fairness and alignment with modern assets.
Mitigation: Engage scholars, economists and practitioners to adapt classical rules to contemporary context; maintain transparency in these determinations.

3. Integration with Tax System

Transitioning from existing taxation might face resistance from vested interests (large tax-avoidance entities), from taxpayers accustomed to current regimes, from government budget dependence on existing taxes.
Mitigation: Phased reform, explicit mapping of old taxes to new structure, stakeholder engagement, transition assistance.

4. Coverage of Public Goods

Zakat is focused on redistribution and welfare; but funding major public goods (roads, defence, large infrastructure) may still require taxation.
Mitigation: Maintain a streamlined tax for public goods, while shifting redistributive burden to zakat-based framework; improve efficiency in public spending.

5. Cultural and Behavioral Considerations

Payers must view zakat obligation as trustworthy, meaningful and fairly executed — otherwise compliance will suffer.
Mitigation: Transparent communications, community involvement, success stories, strong accountability mechanisms.

Case Studies and Empirical Evidence

- A study of the Province of West Java (Indonesia) between 2010–2017 found that zakat fund distribution and local taxes jointly influenced economic growth significantly (76% explanatory power).
- In the conceptual literature, it is argued that zakat outperforms conventional taxes on matters of equity because it accepts ability to pay as a criterion… applies horizontal equity… embeds self-compliance (Gueydi, 2022).
- On the other hand, critiques exist: e.g., Timur Kuran in his “Zakat: Islam’s missed opportunity to limit predatory taxation” argues that while zakat began with the promise of limiting arbitrary fiscal burdens, historical developments diluted that.
- Academic literature emphasises the potential and limitations of using zakat as a fiscal policy tool.

These studies strengthen the argument that a carefully structured zakat-based or zakat complemented fiscal system can deliver positive outcomes but require proper design, governance and cultural alignment.

Implementation in a Developing World Context (e.g. Pakistan)

Let’s take a practical lens. Suppose a country like Pakistan wishes to reform its tax-welfare system using the zakat-based approach. Key steps might include:

- Define a national zakat body to coordinate with provincial councils (Pakistan already has a history of state-collected zakat in some provinces).
- Review current tax burdens on the poor and vulnerable; restructure or reduce regressive taxes (e.g., sales tax on basic commodities) while introducing or strengthening zakat collections on surplus wealth.

- Offer tax credits or exemptions for businesses and individuals who pay zakat via recognised channels, thereby integrating the two systems.
- Deploy zakat funds into micro-finance, vocational training, youth entrepreneurship programmes aligning with growth and welfare.
- Collect data, report publicly, build trust in the system; ensure that the funds actually reach intended beneficiaries.
- Gradually shift the budget framework: base a portion of social spending and poverty relief on zakat disbursements, freeing tax revenue to focus on broad public goods.
- Engage religious scholars, economists, civil society and taxpayer associations in designing, guiding and communicating this reform.

The result: moving from a perception of tax as exploitative ("take from me, nothing in return") to tax as **obligation to justice**, **investment in community**, and **shared prosperity**.

Potential Risks and Ethical Considerations

It is important to emphasise the ethical foundations of a zakat-based system: not just efficiency, but justice. Some risks if not properly handled:

- **Elite capture**: If collection/distribution remains opaque, zakat could be diverted or used for non-merit purposes, undermining trust.
- **Moral hazard**: Without proper targeting, welfare dependence may increase, disincentivising work or entrepreneurship.
- **Inequity in international context**: In multi-faith or multi-religious societies, how to ensure fairness when zakat is religiously defined? A reform must be inclusive or parallel mechanisms created.
- **Over-dependence**: If the state relies solely on zakat for redistribution without maintaining broad taxation for public goods, some services may suffer.
- **Legal and fiscal transition risks**: Abrupt change may destabilize revenue flows, create uncertainty for businesses and individuals.

Therefore, any reform must be accompanied by strong governance, transparent data, inclusive policy design, and a phased implementation linked to monitoring and evaluation.

Why This Matters Now

- **Rising inequality**: Global and national inequality is growing. Traditional tax systems struggle to keep pace with capital flows, digital economy, wealth accumulation.
- **Erosion of tax morale**: Taxpayers often feel the system is unfair, opaque or serves elites undermining compliance and social contract.
- **Growing interest in ethical economics**: Islamic economics scholarship continues to highlight how instruments like zakat can play modern roles in redistribution and welfare.

- **Development-finance pressures**: Many developing countries need models that both raise revenue and promote growth while protecting the vulnerable.
- **Fiscal sustainability**: As demographics shift and welfare demands grow, more efficient, fair and sustainable models are required.

In this context, a zakat-based (or zakat-infused) fiscal model offers a fresh pathway — not just borrowing from the past, but re-imagining justice, welfare and growth for the 21st century.

Conclusion

Ending exploitative taxation requires more than tweaking rates or plugging loopholes— it demands a **re-conceptualisation** of fiscal obligations, welfare, redistribution and trust. The zakat tradition offers a credible foundation: one that links ability to pay, fixed rates, targeted redistribution and ethical accountability. By designing a framework that blends modern public-finance with the normative strength of zakat, societies can achieve three key goals:

- **Justice:** ensuring the burden of contributions is fair and aligned with capacity, while the benefits reach those who most need them.
- **Economic Growth:** by minimizing distortive taxes, promoting savings and investment, and allocating welfare funds into productive channels.
- **Welfare for All:** by embedding redistribution mechanisms that are transparent, effective and morally grounded.

Of course, the transition is not trivial: institutional capacity, legal design, stakeholder culture and governance matter enormously. But with careful implementation, monitoring and adaptation, a zakat-based system could be the **antidote** to exploitative taxation—not just in Muslim-majority states, but in any society seeking a fairer fiscal order. We stand at a moment where the challenge is not just “how much tax” but “what tax system best upholds fairness, growth and welfare”. Anchoring that system in zakat-principles might well be the answer.